

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2015) KLR VOL 2 PART 358 pp. 323-520**

**FEBRUARY 2015**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

### **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Alo v. State p. 331
2. Edoko v. State p. 379
3. Kolawole v. State p. 411
4. Mohammed v. State p. 439
5. Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State Independent Electoral Commission p. 461
6. Ogwe v. Inspector General Police p. 499

ALIBI - Defence - Conditions - For the defence to avail an accused - Such must be raised at the earliest opportunity - And with sufficient particulars to enable the police investigate it (H5) Mohammed v. State p. 439

APPEALS - Document - Filing - Document or process is deemed duly filed when it is taken to court registry - Assessed by officer assigned the responsibility and paid for (H4) Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

APPEALS - Filing - Payment of fees - Where inadequate fees are paid - Court can order for the short fall to be paid - As the omission does not rob the court of its jurisdiction (H5) Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

APPEALS - Hearing notice - Fair hearing - CA rightly proceeded with the matter - Having established that appellant was duly served but chose to be absent - Hence he cannot complain of breach of fair hearing (H1) Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

APPEALS - Judicial precedent - Upholding of - CA ought to be bound by its previous decision and that of SC - In respect of the issue the appeal raises (H3) Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

APPEALS - Record - Binding nature of - Appellate court has no jurisdiction to read into record what it does not contain - As court must read and apply exact content of the record without more (H2) Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

APPEALS - Res judicata - Application - Parties and subject matter in present appeal being different from the previous appeal - CA was wrong to apply the principle of res judicata (H2) Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C. p. 461

APPEALS - Right - Ground of law - Notice of the cross appeal hinged on grounds of law is competent - And cross appellants did not require leave to file same (H4) Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C. p. 461

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution needs to es-

establish that there was robbery - That the robbery was armed robbery - And that appellant took part in the robbery (H4) Kolawole v. State p. 411

ARMED ROBBERY - Medical report - Admissibility - The report having been admitted without objection - And without asking for the presence of the maker - It is late to complain of his absence (H5) Kolawole v. State p. 411

ARMED ROBBERY - Stolen item - Recent possession - Presumption is that the person in possession is either the thief - Or received the property knowing it to have been stolen (H2) Kolawole v. State p. 411

CHARGES - Arraignment - Fair hearing - Reading and explanation of charge must be in language spoken by accused - So as to ensure his right to fair hearing enshrined in 1999 Constitution s. 36(6) (H3) Mohammed v. State p. 439

CHARGES - Arraignment - Validity of - Since the charge contains 6 counts - It is unnecessary to read out and explain each count before plea is taken - Hence CPL s. 215 was fully complied with (H4) Mohammed v. State p. 439

COURTS - Evidence - Review of - Court reviews a part of evidence complained of through ground of appeal - Properly filed and challenging the evidence (H5) Alo v. State p. 331

CRIMINAL LAW - Self defence - Conditions CC s. 286 - For the defence to avail an accused - There must be an unlawful assault - Which was not provoked by the accused (H3) Edoko v. State p. 379

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Co accused - Weight - Evidence of a co accused is admissible against the other - Where for instance it tallied with the statement of the other (H3) Kolawole v. State p. 411

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conflicting versions - Where

accused makes two statements voluntarily - Trial Judge will be right to take the one less favourable to the accused (H1) *Edoko v. State* p. 379

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Corroboration - Exhibits G & H offered corroborative materials - And a consistent picture of the incident - In a way that appellant's statement cannot be doubted (H1) *Kolawole v. State* p. 411

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Objection - Time - Proper time to raise objection to voluntariness of confession - Is at the point when it is to be tendered in evidence (H4) *Alo v. State* p. 331

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Relevancy - If confession is voluntary - It is deemed to be relevant fact as against the person who made it and no other - Unless the other adopts it (H1) *Alo v. State* p. 331

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Voluntariness - Confession is irrelevant if it is obtained involuntarily - And such confession calls for trial within trial - To determine the extent of authenticity (H2) *Alo v. State* p. 331

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Confession - Court can convict accused on his confession - And such confession does not require corroboration - If it meets the requirements of the law (H3) *Alo v. State* p. 331

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Defence - Consideration of - Any defence of which accused is entitled to should be considered - However unreasonable for what it is worth (H2) *Edoko v. State* p. 379

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Institution of - State A-G - Powers of - 1999 Constitution s. 211(1) - The A-G can validly commence criminal proceedings - For any offence created under an Act of NA (H2) *Mohammed v. State* p. 439

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Provocation - Conditions - Accused must clearly state the facts - Show that the provocation deprived him of self control - And must show a proportionate retaliation (H4) *Edoko v. State* p. 379

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Trial - Time - Robbery & Firearms Act s. 12(6) - Although there is right to fair hearing for accused - Where delay is occasioned before arraignment - The same will not invalidate the trial (H1) *Mohammed v. State* p. 439

ELECTIONS - LG chairmen - Expired tenure - Since tenure of appellants have been spent - There cannot be any benefit accruing to them - As the res had finished and became extinct (H3) *Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C.* p. 461

ELECTIONS - LG chairmen - Oath of office - Significance of - Subscription to the oath is used for purpose of computing tenure of office - And for entering into office (H6) *Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C.* p. 461

ELECTIONS - LG chairmen - Tenure - Duration of - Three years period provided in the LG Law s. 27(3)(a) is immutable - And no political party can elongate the prescribed tenure (H5) *Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C.* p. 461

EVIDENCE - Contradiction - Weight - It is not every discrepancy in evidence of PW that leads to rejection - For the contradiction must be so material - As to cast grave doubts on prosecution's case (H7) *Alo v. State* p. 331

EVIDENCE - Criminal trial - Similar facts - Where accused is jointly tried with another - And their case is clearly interwoven - Conviction of one cannot stand where the other was discharged and acquitted (H10) *Alo v. State* p. 331

MURDER - Conviction - Circumstantial evidence - Where there is no direct evidence - Court can draw inference from proved facts - Go-

ing by circumstances surrounding the cause of death (H8) Alo v. State p. 331

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove that the deceased died - That the death was unlawful - That act of accused caused the death - And that accused intended to cause death (H9) Alo v. State p. 331

MURDER - Proof - Evidence - Evidence reveal that appellant certainly knew so much about the death of deceased - And to these facts he did confess in his statement (H6) Alo v. State p. 331

RES JUDICATA - Plea - Condition - Party relying on the plea must establish inter alia that the parties and subject matter are the same - That the decision is valid and final - And that court has jurisdiction (H1) Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C. p. 461

ROBBERY - Stolen item - Recent possession - Since the items were found with appellant after the robbery - It is presumed that he was one of the robbers - Or knew that the goods were stolen properties (H6) Mohammed v. State p. 439

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 233(2)(3) Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C. p. 461, s. 35(7) Alo v. State p. 331, ss. 35(4), 36(1), 174(2), 211(2) Mohammed v. State p. 439, ss. 36(1), 248 Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

Court of Appeal Act, s. 24 Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

Court of Appeal Rules 2007, O. 12 rr. 1, 3 Ogwe v. I.G.P. p. 499

Criminal Code Act Cap. C38 LFN 2004, ss. 283, 284, 286, 287

Edoko v. State p. 379, s. 7 Kolawole v. State p. 411

Criminal Procedure Act 2004, ss. 100(2), 210, 223 Alo v. State p. 331

Criminal Procedure Law of Ogun State, s. 215 Mohammed v. State p. 439

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 29(2), 42 Kolawole v. State p. 411

Evidence Act Cap. 112 LFN 1990, ss. 27(1), 28 Alo v. State p. 331, s. 149(a) Mohammed v. State p. 439

Local Government Laws of Bayelsa State Cap L10 2006, s. 27(3)(a) Ogbolosingha v. Bayelsa State I.E.C. p. 461

Police Act Cap. p.19 (Special Provisions) Act 2006, s. 24(1)(a) Alo v. State p. 331

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act 1990 (as amended), ss. 1(2)(a), 5(b), 9(2), 12(5)(6) Mohammed v. State p. 439

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. RII LFN 2004, s. 6 (b), 1(2)(a) Kolawole v. State p. 411